Document 1

Filed 01/31/2008

Page 1 of 10

Case 3:08-cv-00193-W-LSP

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA 92705

TELEPHONE: (714) 516-2700

ANDERSON LAW FIRM 2070 NORTH TUSTIN AVENUE

- 2. As used in this Complaint, the word "Plaintiff" shall refer to Plaintiff Rebecca Snow.
- 3. As used in this Complaint, the word "Defendant" shall refer to all Defendants named in this Complaint.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT BREACH OF THE IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY CAL. CIV. CODE § 1794

- 4. On or about September 17, 2007, Plaintiff purchased a 2005 Cadillac SRX, VIN # 1GYEE637550195498 (hereafter "Vehicle") which was manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant. The total consideration which Plaintiff paid or agreed to pay, including taxes, license, and finance charges is \$28,768.79. The Vehicle was purchased primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. Plaintiff purchased the Vehicle from a person or entity engaged in the business of manufacturing, distributing, or selling consumer goods at retail.
- 5. In connection with the purchase, Plaintiff received an express written warranty in which Defendant undertook to preserve or maintain the utility or performance of the Vehicle or to provide compensation if there is a failure in utility or performance for a specified period of time. The warranty provided, in relevant part, that in the event a defect developed with the Vehicle during the warranty period, Plaintiff could deliver the Vehicle for repair services to Defendant's representative and the Vehicle would be repaired.
- 6. During the warranty period, the Vehicle contained or developed defects which cause the brakes to engage unexpectedly and unevenly.
- 7. Pursuant to Civil Code §§ 1792 and 1791.1, the sale of the Vehicle was accompanied by Defendant's implied warranty of merchantability. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

duration of the implied warranty is coextensive in duration with the duration of the express written warranty provided by Defendant.

- The implied warranty of merchantability means and includes that the 8. Vehicle will comply with each of the following requirements: (1) The Vehicle will pass without objection in the trade under the contract description; (2) The Vehicle is fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used; (3) The Vehicle is adequately contained, packaged, and labeled; and (4) The Vehicle will conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label.
- On or about September 17, 2007, or during the time period in which the implied warranty was in effect, the Vehicle contained or developed the defects stated in paragraph 6, above. The existence of each of these defects constitutes a breach of the implied warranty because the Vehicle (1) does not pass without objection in the trade under the contract description, (2) is not fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used, (3) is not adequately contained, packaged, and labeled, and (4) does not conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label.
- Plaintiff has rightfully rejected and/or justifiably revoked acceptance 10. of the Vehicle, and has exercised a right to cancel the sale. By serving this Complaint, Plaintiff does so again. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks the remedies provided in California Civil Code section 1794(b)(1), including the entire purchase price. In addition, Plaintiff seeks the remedies set forth in California Civil Code section 1794(b)(2), including the diminution in value of the Vehicle resulting from its defects. Plaintiff believes that, at the present time, the Vehicle's value is de minimis.
- Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's failure to comply with its 11. obligations under the implied warranty, and therefore brings this claim pursuant to Civil Code § 1794.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT BREACH OF THE IMPLIED WARRANTY OF FITNESS CAL. CIV. CODE § 1794

- 12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 4 through 6 and paragraph 10, above.
- 13. Defendant is a manufacturer, distributor, or seller who had reason to know at the time of the retail sale that the Vehicle was required for a particular purpose and that the Plaintiff was relying on the Defendant's skill or judgment to select or furnish suitable goods.
- 14. Pursuant to Civil Code § 1792.1, the sale of the Vehicle was accompanied by Defendant's implied warranty that the Vehicle would be fit for Plaintiff's particular purpose. The duration of the implied warranty is coextensive in duration with the duration of the express written warranty provided by Defendant.
- 15. On or about September 17, 2007, or during the time period in which the implied warranty was in effect, the Vehicle contained or developed the defects stated in paragraph 6, above. The existence of each of these defects constitutes a breach of the implied warranty because the Vehicle is not fit for Plaintiff's particular purpose.
- 16. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under the implied warranty, and therefore brings this claim pursuant to Civil Code § 1794.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ANDERSON LAW FIRM 2070 NORTH TUSTIN AVENUE

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT **BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY CAL. CIV. CODE § 1794**

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in 17. paragraphs 4 through 6 and paragraph 10, above.
- In accordance with Defendant's warranty, Plaintiff delivered the 18. Vehicle to Defendant's representative in this state to perform warranty repairs. Plaintiff did so within a reasonable time. Each time Plaintiff delivered the Vehicle, Plaintiff notified Defendant and its representative of the characteristics of the defects. However, the representative failed to repair the Vehicle, breaching the terms of the written warranty on each occasion.
- Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under the express warranty, and therefore brings this claim pursuant to Civil Code § 1794.
- Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under the express 20. warranty was willful, in that Defendant and its representative were aware of their obligation to repair the Vehicle under the express warranty, but they intentionally declined to fulfill that obligation. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to a civil penalty of two times Plaintiff's actual damages pursuant to Civil Code § 1794(c).

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT FAILURE TO PROMPTLY REPURCHASE PRODUCT CAL. CIV. CODE § 1793.2(d)

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 4 through 6, above.
- Defendant and its representatives in this state have been unable to 22. service or repair the Vehicle to conform to the applicable express warranties after a

reasonable number of attempts. Despite this fact, Defendant failed to promptly
replace the Vehicle or make restitution to Plaintiff as required by Civil Code §§
1793.2(d) and 1793.1(a)(2).

- 23. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations pursuant to Civil Code §§ 1793.2(d) and 1793.1(a)(2), and therefore brings this claim pursuant to § 1794.
- 24. Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under § 1793.2(d) was willful, in that Defendant and its representative were aware that they were unable to service or repair the Vehicle to conform to the applicable express warranties after a reasonable number of repair attempts, yet Defendant failed and refused to promptly replace the Vehicle or make restitution despite Plaintiff's demand. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to a civil penalty of two times Plaintiff's actual damages pursuant to § 1794(c).
- 25. Defendant does not maintain a qualified third-party dispute resolution process which substantially complies with § 1793.22. Despite Defendant's violation of § 1793.2(d) and its notice thereof, Defendant failed to comply with its obligations within a reasonable time. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to a civil penalty of two times Plaintiff's actual damages pursuant to Civil Code § 1794(e).
- 26. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties pursuant to § 1794, subdivisions (c) and (e) in the alternative and does not seek to cumulate civil penalties, as provided in § 1794(f).

# FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF BY PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT FAILURE TO COMMENCE REPAIRS WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME AND TO COMPLETE THEM WITHIN 30 DAYS CAL. CIV. CODE § 1794

27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 4 through 6 and paragraph 10, above.

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

28.	Although Plaintiff delivered the Vehicle to Defendant's representative
in this state	Defendant and its representative failed to commence the service or
repairs with	in a reasonable time and failed to service or repair the Vehicle so as to
conform to	the applicable warranties within 30 days, in violation of Civil Code §
1793.2(b).	Plaintiff did not extend the time for completion of repairs beyond the
30-day requ	irement.

- 29. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations pursuant to § 1793.2(b), and therefore brings this claim pursuant to § 1794.
- 30. Defendant's failure to comply with its obligations under § 1793.2(b) was willful, in that Defendant and its representative were aware that they were obligated to service or repair the Vehicle to conform to the applicable express warranties within 30 days, yet they failed to do so. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to a civil penalty of two times Plaintiff's actual damages pursuant to § 1794(c).

### **PRAYER**

PLAINTIFF PRAYS for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. For Plaintiff's damages in the amount of at least \$28,768.79.
- 2. For restitution to Plaintiff in the amount of \$28,768.79.
- 3. For a civil penalty in the amount of \$57,537.58, which is two times Plaintiff's total damages, pursuant to Civil Code § 1794(c) and (e).
  - 4. For any consequential and incidental damages.
- 5. For costs of the suit and Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to Civil Code § 1794(d).
  - 6. For prejudgment interest at the legal rate.

COMPLAINT; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Document 1

Filed 01/3<u>1/2</u>008

Page 8 of 10

Case 3:08-cv-00193-W-LSP

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 147087 - BH

January 31, 2008 16:09:07

### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV0193 CIVIL FILING

Judge..: THOMAS J WHELAN

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: PC# 1488

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: SNOW V. GENERAL MOTORS

CIVIL FILING

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	W	DEFENDANTS	<del></del>	y.≠.	
REBECCA SNOW		GENERAL MOT	GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION		
` ' '	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego  KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LAND	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON CONDEMNATION CASES LISE NVOLVED.	not a US Pith case)  THE LOOKING OF THE	
* *	, Address, and Telephone Number) 4455 Morena Blvd., Ste. 207, San Dieg	Attorneys (If Known)	CV 0193	W LSP	
II. BASIS OF JURISD		II. CITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES(PI	lace are X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	F DEF .		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	28 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	of Business In An		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	M BEFORFEITURE/PENALTYAN	ENERGE BANKRUPT GYESTER	SARSAS (OTTO PERSONAL DE DESASTERO)	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage	610 Agriculture   620 Other Food & Drug   625 Drug Related Seizure   of Property 21 USC 881   630 Liquor Laws   640 R.R. & Truck   650 Airline Regs.   660 Occupational   Safety/Health   690 Other   710 Fair Labor Standards   Act   720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations   730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting   & Disclosure Act   740 Railway Labor Act   790 Other Labor Litigation   791 Empl. Ret. Inc.   Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment     □ 410 Antitrust     □ 430 Banks and Banking     □ 450 Commerce     □ 460 Deportation     □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and     □ Corrupt Organizations     □ 480 Consumer Credit     □ 490 Cable/Sat TV     □ 810 Selective Service     □ 850 Securities/Commodities/     □ Exchange     □ 875 Customer Challenge     □ 12 USC 3410}     □ 890 Other Statutory Actions     □ 891 Agricultural Acts     □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act     □ 893 Environmental Matters     □ 894 Energy Allocation Act     □ 895 Freedom of Information     □ Act     □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination     Under Equal Access     to Justice     □ 950 Constitutionality of     State Statutes	
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	ferred from   6 Multidistric  7 district  Litigation	Appeal to District ct	
W 0.110P.0P.	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Consumer Warranty Action				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND \$ 6,306.37	CHECK YES only i  JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	<u> </u>	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT			-	
01/23/2008 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Michael	al F. Lindsey		
	AMOUNT \$355 1/31/05 BM APPLYING IFP	JUDGE_	MAG. JUD	OGE	